### **Aquatic Insect Community Functional Responses to Canopy Cover Changes Along Gradients of Elevation** and Temperature in Rocky Mountain Headwater **Streams**



Carolina Gutiérrez, **Rachel Harrington**, Boris Kondratieff, Colleen Webb, **Cameron Ghalambor** and N. LeRoy Poff

neði













esa

# **Biodiversity in the Anthropocene**



# **Different frameworks**



Source: Millennium Ecosystem Assessment

to any calculation or estimate.

# FUNCTION

# Challenges

Functional Diversity Food Web structure

What are the effects of human impacts on functional diversity and biodiversity loss?

Which impacts are most influential in determining changes in functional traits?

Sec.

Which functional traits are most resilient to anthropogenic change - including climate change? How do functional traits respond to changes in food web structure at the species and community level?

EVOLUTIONARY PERSPECTIVE

How is food web structure both topologically and dynamically linked to ecosystem services? Which ecosystem services are altered by changes in food web structure?

**Ecosystem Services** 

Which functional traits can be used as indicators for ecosystem services that reflect changes in food web structure?

Which ecosystem services are both essential and vulnerable in the context of the Anthropocene?

Belgrano et al. (2015)

# Study System







# Study System Importance



Baxter, Fausch & Saunders (2005)

# Why measure along environmental gradients?

- Less consideration given to elevation gradients than to longitudinal gradients
- Abiotic conditions change rapidly over small spatial scales



# **Our Main Question?**

How is the functional structure of aquatic insect communities changing across Environmental Gradients of elevation, water temperature and canopy cover in Mountain Streams?



# Functional Diversity (FD)

What is it? Broadly defined as:

The value, range, distribution and relative abundance of the functional traits in a given ecosystem

(Díaz et al., 2007)

Why should we be interested?

- Quantify the value and range of organismal traits
- Influence of traits in organismal performance in ecosystem
- Rather than species diversity,
  FD enhances insight into ecosystem functions.

# <sup>10</sup>How to measure FD?

### Multidimensional framework: 3 facets of FD

- Three indices for a community with species distributed in a multidimensional functional space:
  - Functional richness (FRic)
  - Functional evenness (FEve)
  - Functional divergence (Fdiv)

- Functional richness
  - Volume of multidimensional space
  - All species in a community within functional space.
- Functional evenness
  - Regularity of
  - Distribution
  - Relative abundance of species in functional space for a given community.
- Functional divergence
  - Proportion of total abundance
  - Supported by species with the most extreme trait values
  - Within a community.





Source: Adapted from Villéger et al., 2008 & Carmona et al., 2016; Mouillot et al., 2013.



# 12 Hypothesis & Prediction



# **METHODS:** Field locations

- 24 streams total
- 200-meter elevation bands ranging from 1500m-3500m
- Replicated in 3 different drainages



### Elevation

Source: Harrington (2016)

# **METHODS:** Insect collection



Resources





### **Physical conditions**

- Temperature
- Flow regime
- Light availability/cover

### Resources

- Benthic Organic Matter
- Algae (chlorophyll a)
- Prey density

# **RESOURCES:** Chlorophyll a

*In situ* filtration of rock slurry using glass fiber filters at both open and closed replicate sites.

Freeze preservation of filters to perform chl *a* extraction in the laboratory.

Chl *a* extraction and concentration measured using a Turner Designs *Aqua*Fluor® Handheld Fluorometer.

Algal Classification to distinguish among algal groups (mixed, cyanobacteria and green/brown algae) using PhytoFind™.





Traits	Trait States (#)
Life history	
Ability to survive desiccation	2
Adult ability to exit	2
Adult life span	3
Development	3
Synchronization of emergence	2
Voltinism	3
Mobility	
Adult flying strength	2
Female dispersal	2
Maximum crawling rate	3
Occurrence in drift	3
Swimming ability	3
Morphology	
Armoring	3
Attachment	3
Respiration	3
Shape	2
Size at maturity	3
Ecology	
Habit (in ecosystem)	6
Rheophily	3
Thermal preference	3
Trophic Habit	5

Methods: 20 traits used

Source: Adapted for use from Poff et al. (2006)





18

# Turnover

# **Statistical analysis**

- Taxonomic richness and community structure analysis
- Functional Richness, Evenness & Divergence Indexes analysis
- ANOVA on indexes' values to test the hypothesis of variation along elevation gradients
- β- Diversity Partitioning: Nestedness (by richness) and turnover (identity).
- Ordination Analysis on Traits' distribution
- Analysis of Chl *a* concentration along the elevation gradient.

# **Taxonomic Richness**

### 70 Comparison of Community Richness Along an Elevational Gradient



- Negative trend
- Supports results from Ward (1986)
- Less richness in low order streams (P<0.02)</li>
- Confirms lower richness (α diversity) in low order/ headwater streams

Harrington et al. (2016)

# **Taxonomic Turnover**

Taxonomic turnover: no trend

- Relatively high turnover values (P<0.008)
- Confirms greater heterogeneity (β diversity) in low order/headwater streams

Harrington et al. (2016)



# **Comparison of Taxonomic Turnover Along an**







Elevation (m)

# Functional Evenness





# **Components of** β**-Diversity**



Variation in response variable y or response matrix Y

by X Unexplained variation (residual variation) = [d]

[a] [b]

Variation

explained

Legendre (2008)

# What is the traits' distribution?



# What about Water temperature?









### Sampling sites in Colorado



### COLORADO (Poudre Drainage)

3200m: West Fork Sheep Creek

2798m: Killpecker Creek

2590m: Beaver Creek

2212m: Sevenmile Creek

1992m: Elkhorn Creek

Summer 2013



# **Data Analysis**

- Functional Diversity Metrics (FRic, FDiv, FEve)
- Binary matrix of predator vs. prey.
- Estimated ten attributes of the trophic networks according to Dunne et al. (2002) and Bersier et al. (2002).
- All trophic analyses and trophic models were performed in the Network3D program (Yoon et al., 200; Williams, 2010).
- Discriminant analysis was performed using mean values of gut content area to evaluate the variation in the composition of the food resources consumed at the different sites. (RWizard 2.3 - Guisande *et al.*, 2016).



# What about resources?: Chl a





Chl a concentracion increases with elevation in open canopy areas

 Highest abundance between 68%-78% canopy cover.



# Take home messages

- Functional Richness of the aquatic insect community decreased significantly with increasing elevation in RMS.
- Highest Functional Richness in two out of our three drainages of study (Big Thompson and Saint Vrain) was observed between 8°C and 15°C.
- Highest Functional Richness and Diversity on closed canopy areas (65%-78% cover)
- Traits with the highest influence on variability in the community of insects in the RMS studied were those related to:
  - Voltinism
  - Adult life span
  - Synchronization of emergence
  - Adult ability to exit
  - Development
  - Ability to survive desiccation
  - Female dispersal

# Take home messages

- Findings support the previous understanding that small tributary streams, despite having low individual α-diversity, exhibit high β-diversity collectively (Clarke et al., 2008; Finn et al., 2011).
- This study seems to be the first to evaluate aquatic insect community assembly using functional α and β diversity partition analysis in Rocky Mountain Streams.
- It is likely that local environmental conditions are associated with functional assemblage structure, and functional groups turnover according local environmental conditions, and there is some degree of nestedness in this pattern.

# **Future work**

- Context of results along gradients comparing mountain temperate streams vs. tropical mountainous streams in the Ecuadorian Andes.
- Implications for headwater stream ecosystem management and conservation in lieu of vulnerabilities of the functional structure of aquatic insect communities.
- Addressing the challenges in the Anthropocene...

# Future work



Which impacts are most influential in determining changes in functional traits?

Sec.

and biodiversity loss?

Which functional traits are most resilient to anthropogenic change - including climate change?

How do functional traits respond to changes in food web structure at the species and community level?

How is food web structure both topologically and dynamically linked to ecosystem services?

Which ecosystem services are altered by changes in food web structure?

Which functional traits can be used as indicators for ecosystem services that reflect changes in food web structure?

Which ecosystem services are both essential and vulnerable in the context of the Anthropocene?

Belgrano et al. (2015)

# Acknowledgements...



Cornell University

Nebraska





**Aquatic Ecology Section** 

esa

# EVOTRAC

Evolutionary and Ecological Variability in Organismal Trait Response with Altitude and Climate





- Boris Kondratieff
- Cameron Ghalambor
- Alex Flecker
- Steve Thomas
- Andrea Encalada
- Scott Morton
- Ryan McShane
- Whitney Beck
- Holly Lafferty
- David Martin
- Monica Paez
- Alisha Shah
- Brian Gill
- Erin Larson
- Amanda Rugenski
- Carla Atkinson
- Poff Lab members since 2011 to date!











CHOOL OF GLOBA

SUSTAINABILITY

Colorado State University

TION, INNOVATION, CHANG







# Thanks for listening!

## **Questions?**